

32.1157.116 August 28, 2023

Nicole Roberts Conservation & Planning Agent Town of Winchendon 109 Front Street Winchendon, MA 01475

Re: Peer Review - Proposed Solar Energy Storage System, 0 Murdock Ave Response to Comments

Dear Ms. Roberts:

At the Planning Department's request, Tighe & Bond has reviewed the submission materials for the proposed solar energy storage system located at 0 Murdock Ave in Winchendon, Massachusetts and issued a review letter on May 11, 2023. Subsequently, the Applicant submitted revised materials on July 10, 2023, and Tighe & Bond issued a second review letter on July 21, 2023. The Applicant has submitted an additional response to comments letter and revised materials on August 2, 2023. This letter is in response to the most recently revised submission materials and includes the original review comments, Consultant (Hannigan Engineering, Inc.) responses in bold, and Tighe & Bond's latest review comments italicized. This letter excludes comments that were addressed in previous revisions and noted as addressed in the July 21st letter.

Basis of Review

Tighe & Bond received the following materials via email which served as the basis of our review:

- Response to Comments Letter prepared by Hannigan Engineering, Inc., dated August 2, 2023
- Response to Comments Letter prepared by Hannigan Engineering, Inc., dated July 10, 2023
- Site Development Plans prepared by Hannigan Engineering, Inc., revised August 2, 2023
- Drainage Analysis prepared by Hannigan Engineering, Inc., revised July 10, 2023

During our review the following items were referenced, as necessary:

- Town of Winchendon Zoning Bylaw (October 2019)
- Town of Winchendon Stormwater Management Regulations (September 2021)
- Town of Winchendon Rules and Regulations for the Review and Approval of Site Plans and Site Development (January 2008)
- The Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook
- Applicable State and Federal Regulations



Review Comments

The following comments pertain to the Town of Winchendon Zoning Bylaws:

1. Section 12.6.1(B) requires consideration of the adequacy of traffic circulation. The Consultant should provide a vehicle tracking diagram showing adequate turning radii for emergency vehicles, especially fire department vehicles, from the curb cuts around the islands.

Hannigan Response: Vehicle turning layouts utilizing a typical emergency vehicle (SU-40) has been included with this review.

T&B Response: The tracking diagrams provided with the revised materials show that an emergency vehicle can pull onto the site but not that they can travel through the site. We recommend that the Town of Winchendon Fire Department is provided the site plans and vehicle tracking diagrams to review and confirm the site layout provides adequate emergency access.

Hannigan Response: The Winchendon Fire Department is currently reviewing the site plans along with the vehicle tracking paths and fire suppression systems.

T&B Response: We recommend the Board defer to the judgment of the Fire Department on whether the design provides adequate emergency access.

2. Section 12.6.1(P) requires consideration of the microclimate, including noise levels of the immediate environment. The Consultant should provide a statement addressing potential noise pollution, or lack thereof, from the proposed project.

Hannigan Response: A noise analysis of the electrical equipment is forthcoming.

T&B Response: We recommend the noise analysis is reviewed upon completion to ensure the Project meets the intent of Section 12.6.1(P).

Hannigan Response: ZP Battery DevCo, LLC is currently prepared the document and will be presented to the Board at the next available public meeting.

T&B Response: We recommend the noise analysis is reviewed upon completion to ensure the Project meets the intent of Section 12.6.1(P).

The following comments pertain to the Town of Winchendon Stormwater Management Regulations and the stormwater report and design:

16. The rain garden is partially proposed within groundwater. The drainage report notes the PVC sub-drain system has a dual purpose of draining the basin between storm events and preventing groundwater from entering the basin; however, since the basin is not lined, it is possible groundwater can still get into the system. The Consultant should consider lining the basin to prevent groundwater from permeating into the rain garden system.

Hannigan Response: Based on the consistent nature of the soil within this area it is presumed that the groundwater table is approximately 28-inches to 30-inches below the existing grade. The proposed rain garden has been relocated further west such that the bottom of the Basin is approximately a foot above existing grade, providing approximately 3 feet separation to groundwater.

T&B Response: The soil media below the "basin" portion of the rain garden and the subdrain piping are considered part of the rain garden system/the rain garden cell.



The total depth of the soil media and subdrain system below the "basin" bottom is 3.1-4.6 feet, resulting in a portion of the rain garden cell within groundwater. The system may not function as intended if groundwater permeates into the rain garden cell. We recommend that the Consultant consider lining the basin or provide 2 feet of separation to ESHGWT from the bottom of the rain garden cell system in accordance with the guidelines for rain gardens in the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook.

Hannigan Response: The Rain Garden Detail has been revised to provide a 40-mil plastic liner around the planting media and subdrain system to inhibit groundwater intrusion.

T&B Response: The comment has been addressed.

The following comments pertain to the Town of Winchendon Rules and Regulations for the Review and Approval of Site Plans and Site Development:

24. Section 3.3.5(E)(4)(b) requires estimates of construction costs for consideration in determining the amount of performance bond or cash security. We note the Applicant has stated costs will be provided prior to construction if a performance bond is required. We recommend the Board consider a condition of approval that the cost is provided prior to issuance of a Building Permit.

Hannigan Response: Acknowledged.

T&B Response: We recommend the Board consider a condition of approval that the cost is provided prior to issuance of a Building Permit.

Hannigan Response: Acknowledged.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide these comments for the Board's consideration. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 413.668.6019 or jechristy@tighebond.com.

Very truly yours,

Jean E. Christy PE Senior Engineer

